arXiv:1406.2042v1 [math.GT] 9 Jun 2014

ON THE CHARACTERIZATION PROBLEM OF ALEXANDER POLYNOMIALS OF CLOSED 3-MANIFOLDS

K. ALCARAZ

Abstract. We give a characterization for the Alexander polynomials Δ_M of closed orientable 3-manifolds M with first Betti number $b_1M = 1$ and some partial results for the characterization problem in the cases of $b_1M > 1$. We first prove an analogue of a theorem of Levine: that the product of an Alexander polynomial Δ_M with a non-zero trace symmetric polynomial in b_1M variables is again an Alexander polynomial of a closed orientable 3-manifold. Using the fact that there exist M with $\Delta_M = 1$ for $b_1M = 1, 2, 3$, we conclude that the symmetric polynomials of non-zero trace in 1, 2 or 3 variables are Alexander polynomials of closed orientable 3-manifolds. When $b_1M = 1$ we prove that non-zero trace symmetric polynomials are the only ones that can arise. Finally, for $b_1M \geq 4$, we prove that $\Delta_M \neq 1$ implying that for such manifolds not all non-zero trace symmetric polynomials occur.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Alexander Polynomial 2
3. An Analog of a Theorem of Levine for Closed 3-manifolds 4
4. Characterization for $b_1M = 1$ 10
4.1. Blanchfield's Mod Unit Symmetry Theorem 11
4.2. An Analogue of the Seifert Surface Construction 11
4.3. An Analogue of the Seifert Matrix 14
4.4. Characterization 16
5. Manifolds with $b_1 > 1$ 17
5.1. Manifolds with $b_1 = 2, 3$ 17
5.2. Manifolds with $b_1 \geq 4$ 18
5.3. $\mathbb{F}_p$-Homology and Finite Cyclic Covers 18
5.4. Closed 3-manifolds with $\Delta = 1$ 20
References 21

1. Introduction

Let M be a manifold, b_1M its first Betti number. For $b_1M \geq 1$, the Alexander polynomial of M, Δ_M, is a polynomial invariant in b_1M variables first defined by Alexander [2] for $M = \mathbb{S}^3 - K$ a knot complement and more generally for M having finitely presented π_1 by Fox [4].

Date: April 15, 2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M27.
Key words and phrases. Alexander polynomial, closed 3-manifolds.

In the case of knot complements, a characterization of Alexander polynomials was given by Seifert [14], and later, in the case of link complements, necessary conditions on Alexander polynomials were described by Torres [18] (when $b_1 = 2$, these conditions are insufficient [7], [13]).

In this paper, we consider the characterization problem for Alexander polynomials of closed orientable 3-manifolds, giving a characterization in the case $b_1 M = 1$ and some partial results concerning characterization in the cases of $b_1 M > 1$.

Our first result is the following analog for closed orientable 3-manifolds of a Theorem of Levine (see §3 or [10]), which allows us to produce by multiplication new Alexander polynomials from a given one. By the *trace* of a polynomial we mean the sum of its coefficients.

Theorem. *Let M be a closed orientable 3-manifold with $b_1 M = n$ and let λ be a symmetric Laurent polynomial in n variables with non zero trace. Then there exists a 3-manifold M' with $b_1 M' = n$ for which*

$$\Delta_{M'} = \lambda \cdot \Delta_M .$$

This Theorem is proved in §3.

For low Betti numbers $b_1 = 1, 2$ or 3, the following closed orientable manifolds have $\Delta_M = 1$:

- $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, $b_1 = 1$.
- $\mathsf{H}_3(\mathbb{R})/\mathsf{H}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ = Heisenberg manifold [12], $b_1 = 2$.
- $\mathbb{T}^3$ = the 3-torus, $b_1 = 3$.

Combining these examples with the above analog of Levine's Theorem we have

Corollary. *Every symmetric Laurent polynomial in 1, 2 or 3 variables having non-zero trace is the Alexander polynomial of a 3-manifold with first Betti number 1, 2 or 3.*

It is natural to ask if the statement in the Corollary gives necessary conditions for a characterization for Betti numbers $b_1 = 1, 2$ or 3. In the case $b_1 = 1$, it does. We say that a Laurent polynomial is *unit symmetric* if it is symmetric after multiplication with a unit of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$.

Characterization Theorem. *Let λ be a Laurent polynomial in 1 variable. Then $\lambda = \Delta_M$ for some closed 3-manifold M with $b_1 M = 1 \Leftrightarrow \lambda$ is unit symmetric and has non-zero trace.*

Finally, we consider the case of manifolds with $b_1 \geq 4$. Our main result is the following:

Theorem. $\Delta_M \neq 1$ *for any closed 3-manifold with $b_1 M \geq 4$.*

A consequence of this is the following negative result: not every symmetric polynomial occurs as the Alexander polynomial for a 3-manifold having $b_1 \geq 4$.

Acknowledgements: This paper is based on results contained in my PhD thesis, which was supervised by Marc Lackenby. I would also like to thank Cameron Gordon for helpful conversations.

2. Alexander Polynomial

From this point on, all 3-manifolds will be assumed to be orientable.

We begin by reviewing a definition of the Alexander polynomial which employs absolute homology [11], [14]. This definition differs from those that appear in [3, 4, 12, 19] which use either relative homology or Fox calculus. A proof of the equivalence of all three definitions can be found in [1] (the equivalence is in fact implicit in Theorem 2.7 of [11] as well as Theorem 16.5 of [21]).

Let $\psi : G \to H$ be an epimorphism of a finitely presented group G onto a finitely generated free abelian group H of rank n. Denote by $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ the group ring associated to H i.e. the ring of formal finite linear combinations

$$n_1 h_1 + \cdots + n_k h_k, \quad n_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ h_i \in H,$$

and the product in $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ is defined by linear extension of the product of H. Note that if we choose a basis $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ of H then we may write elements of H as

$$t^I = t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_n^{i_n}$$

for $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that the elements of $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ may be viewed as a multivariable Laurent polynomials in the multivariable t.

Let (X, x) be a pointed CW-complex whose 0-skeleton consists of the base point x, such that $G = \pi_1(X, x)$. Let

$$p_\psi \colon \widehat{X}_\psi \longrightarrow X$$

be the normal covering space corresponding to ψ: that is, the covering indexed by $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi) \subset G$ with deck group $G/\mathrm{Ker}(\psi) \cong H$.

The ***Alexander module*** is defined to be

$$A_\psi = H_1(\widehat{X}_\psi) = H_1(\widehat{X}_\psi;\, \mathbb{Z}), \tag{1}$$

where its structure of $\mathbb{Z}[H]$-module comes from the action of H on $\widehat{X}_\psi$ by deck transformations.

For any finitely presented module A over $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ consider a free resolution

$$\mathbb{Z}[H]^m \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{Z}[H]^n \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0.$$

Such a resolution may be defined using a presentation $A = \langle x_1, \ldots x_n | r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$: we take $\mathbb{Z}[H]^n = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n |\ \ \rangle$, $\mathbb{Z}[H]^m = \langle R_1, \ldots, R_m |\ \ \rangle$ with $P(R_i) = r_i$. Note that we may assume, without loss of generality, that $m \geq n$. One can represent P by an $m \times n$ matrix also denoted P. For each $d = 0, \ldots, n$ we define the ***dth elementary ideal*** $I_d(A) \subset \mathbb{Z}[H]$ to be the ideal generated by the $(n-d) \times (n-d)$ minors of the matrix P. These ideals are independent of the resolution of A and form a chain

$$I_0(A) \subset I_1(A) \subset \cdots \subset I_n(A) = \mathbb{Z}[H].$$

The ***dth order ideal*** is the smallest principal ideal containing $I_d(A)$, where any generator $\Delta_d(A)$ of it is called a ***dth order*** of A; it is well-defined up to multiplication by units. The dth order can also be defined as the greatest common divisor of the $(n-d) \times (n-d)$ minors of the matrix P (which is well-defined since $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ is a unique factorization domain).

When $A = A_\psi$ we denote by

- P_ψ any presentation matrix and call it an ***Alexander matrix***.
- I_ψ the 0th elementary ideal of a presentation matrix P_ψ and call it the ***Alexander ideal***.
- Δ_ψ a 0th order of A_ψ and call it an ***Alexander polynomial***.

Let M be a compact manifold with $\pi_1 M$ finitely presented and with first Betti number $b_1 M = n$. Let $H(M) = H_1 M/\mathrm{Tor}(H_1 M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $\mathrm{Tor}(H_1 M)$ is the torsion subgroup of $H_1 M$, and consider the epimorphism

$$\psi^{\text{fr-ab}} : \pi_1 M \longrightarrow H_1 M \longrightarrow H(M),$$

where the first map is the abelianization and the second map is the projection. The normal covering associated to $K^{\text{fr-ab}} = \mathrm{Ker}(\psi^{\text{fr-ab}})$

$$p : \widehat{M} \longrightarrow M$$

is called the ***universal free abelian cover*** of M.

Proposition 1. *Let H be a finitely generated free abelian group and let $\psi : \pi_1 M \to H$ be an epimorphism with associated covering $p_\psi : \widehat{M}_\psi \to M$. Then there exists a covering*

$$q_\psi : \widehat{M} \longrightarrow \widehat{M}_\psi$$

such that $p_\psi \circ q_\psi = p$.

Proof. Let $K_\psi = \mathrm{Ker}(\psi)$ which is the image of $\pi_1 \widehat{M}_\psi$ by $(p_\psi)_*$. Since $H_1 M$ is the abelianization of $\pi_1 M$, there is an epimorphism $r : H_1 M \to H$ with $\psi = r \circ \psi^{\text{fr-ab}}$. But H is torsion free, therefore all torsion elements of $H_1 M$ are mapped to 0. This means that there exists an epimorphism $r' : H(M) \to H$ so that $\psi = r' \circ \psi^{\text{fr-ab}}$. We conclude that $K^{\text{fr-ab}} \subset K_\psi$, and the Proposition follows from this. □

Corollary 1. *Any cover of M with deck group $H(M)$ is isomorphic to the universal free abelian cover $p : \widehat{M} \longrightarrow M$.*

When $\psi = \psi^{\text{fr}-\text{ab}}$ we denote A_M, I_M and Δ_M, and refer to them as the ***Alexander module, ideal*** and ***polynomial*** of M. By Corollary 1, these invariants depend only on M.

3. An Analog of a Theorem of Levine for Closed 3-manifolds

First we define three notions of symmetry that will be relevant for us.

Let $H \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$, denote $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[H]$ the group ring and by $\Lambda^\times$ the group of units. The inversion map in H, $h \mapsto h^{-1}$, extends to an automorphism $\iota : \Lambda \to \Lambda$.

We say that a polynomial $f \in \Lambda$ is

- ***symmetric*** if $\iota(f) = f$.
- ***unit symmetric*** if there exists a unit $u \in \Lambda^\times$ such that uf is symmetric i.e. $\iota(uf) = uf$.
- ***mod unit symmetric*** if $\iota(f) = uf$ for some unit $u \in \Lambda^\times$.

The definitions which we have just described are given in descending order of strength. For example, $t^2 + t + 1$ is unit symmetric but not symmetric. And $t - 1$ is mod unit symmetric but not unit symmetric.

Now we recall Levine's method for generating link polynomials.

Theorem 1 (Levine, [10])**.** *Let Δ_L be the Alexander polynomial of an n-component link L, and let $\lambda = \Sigma\, c_I t^I \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ satisfy the following conditions:*

1. *λ is symmetric.*
2. *$\lambda(1, \cdots, 1) = 1$.*

Then there exists an oriented link L' with the same number of components as L such that

$$\Delta_{L'} = \Delta_L \cdot \lambda.$$

Now we prove an analogue of Levine's Theorem for closed 3-manifolds. By this we mean the following: let M be a closed 3-manifold with $b_1 M = n$ and let λ be a symmetric Laurent polynomial in n-variables with non-zero trace. Then we will prove that there exists a closed 3-manifold M' with $b_1 M' = n$ and whose Alexander polynomial is $\lambda \cdot \Delta_M$.

Proposition 2. *Let M be a 3-manifold with $b_1 M = n$, S a simple closed curve in M which is homotopically trivial and N a tubular neighborhood of S. Let $p : \widehat{M} \to M$ be the universal free abelian cover and let $\widehat{X} = \widehat{M} - p^{-1}(N)$. Then we have the following isomorphism of Λ-modules*

$$H_1(\widehat{X}) \cong H_1(\widehat{M}) \oplus \Lambda.$$

Proof. Consider the pair $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{X})$ and denote $\widehat{N} = p^{-1}(N)$. By excision on the interior of $\widehat{X}$, we have that

$$H_*(\widehat{M}, \widehat{X}) \cong H_*(\overline{\widehat{N}}, \partial\overline{\widehat{N}}).$$

Since the deck group H acts by homeomorphisms on the pairs $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{X})$, $(\overline{\widehat{N}}, \partial\overline{\widehat{N}})$, the excision isomorphism is an isomorphism of Λ-modules. If we let $\overline{\widehat{N}}_0 \supset \partial\overline{\widehat{N}}_0$ be a pair of fixed components of $\overline{\widehat{N}} \supset \partial\overline{\widehat{N}}$ (i.e. a fixed lift of $\overline{N} \supset \partial\overline{N}$) then we may write

$$\overline{\widehat{N}} = \bigsqcup_{h \in H} h(\overline{\widehat{N}}_0) \supset \partial\overline{\widehat{N}} = \bigsqcup_{h \in H} h(\partial\overline{\widehat{N}}_0).$$

On the level of homology groups we have then

$$H_k(\overline{\widehat{N}}, \partial\overline{\widehat{N}}) \cong \bigoplus_{h \in H} H_k(\overline{N}, \partial\overline{N}),$$

a direct sum of copies of $H_k(\overline{N}, \partial\overline{N})$ indexed by H. By Lefschetz duality, since $\overline{N} - \partial\overline{N} = N$, we have that

$$H_k(\overline{N}, \partial\overline{N}) \cong H^{3-k}(N) \cong H^{3-k}(\mathbb{S}^1) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 2, 3. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

We note that a generator of $H_2(\overline{N}, \partial\overline{N})$ is given by a disk D whose boundary is a meridian of N. Thus as a Λ-module, we have

$$H_2(\overline{\widehat{N}}, \partial\overline{\widehat{N}}) \cong \Lambda,$$

with generator a disk in $\overline{\widehat{N}}_0$ whose boundary is a meridian. The generator of $H_2(\widehat{M}, \widehat{X}) \cong H_2(\overline{\widehat{N}}, \partial\overline{\widehat{N}})$ corresponding to the disk generator $\widehat{D}_0$ of $H_2(\overline{\widehat{N}}, \partial\overline{N})$ is denoted ν. Note that the boundary of ν is equal to $\partial\widehat{D}_0$.

The long exact sequence of the pair $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{X})$ (an exact sequence of Λ-modules) can now be written

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_2(\widehat{M}, \widehat{X}) \cong \Lambda \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_1(\widehat{X}) \xrightarrow{\beta} H_1(\widehat{M}) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H_1(\widehat{M}, \widehat{X}) = 0 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Then γ is the zero map and by exactness β is surjective, so that:

$$H_1(\widehat{M}) \cong H_1(\widehat{X})/\mathrm{Ker}(\beta).$$

Again by exactness $\mathrm{Ker}(\beta) = \mathrm{Im}(\alpha)$ and we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im}(\alpha) \longrightarrow H_1(\widehat{X}) \longrightarrow H_1(\widehat{M}) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3}$$

Since, as indicated above, $H_2(\widehat{M}, \widehat{X}) \cong \Lambda$, the map α is of the form

$$\alpha : \Lambda \longrightarrow H_1(\widehat{X}).$$

Thus if we can show that α is injective and that the sequence (3) is split by a Λ-module map, this will imply that $H_1(\widehat{X}) \cong H_1(\widehat{M}) \oplus \Lambda$.

We begin by showing that α is injective i.e. we show that $\mathrm{Im}(\alpha) \cong \Lambda$. Note first that $\mathrm{Im}(\alpha)$ is generated as a Λ-module by $\mu = \beta(\nu)$, and μ is in fact the meridian boundary of $\widehat{D}_0$. Suppose that μ is a torsion element of $H_1(\widehat{X})$, i.e $f\mu = 0$ for some $f = \sum c_h h \in \Lambda$. We will show that $f = 0$ i.e. that $c_h = 0$ for all $h \in H$. Now $f\mu$ bounds a compact surface in $\widehat{X}$ which when included in $\widehat{M}$ can be filled by meridian disks to form a closed orientable surface Σ in $\widehat{M}$. Write $\widehat{S} = \sqcup \widehat{S}_h$ where $\widehat{S}_h = h\widehat{S}_0$ and $\widehat{S}_0$ is a fixed lift of S to $\widehat{M}$. Since S is homotopically trivial, each lift $\widehat{S}_h$ is also homotopically trivial. Then $\widehat{S}_h$ intersects Σ c_h-times with the same orientation. But $\widehat{S}_h$ is homologically trivial, so its intersection number with an oriented surface is 0. Thus $c_h = 0$ for all h.

Now we want to show that the short exact sequence is split. Since $\widehat{S}_h$ is homologically trivial for each h, it bounds a compact oriented surface Σ_h in $\widehat{M}$. Let

$$\phi : H_1(\widehat{X}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im}(\alpha) = \Lambda\mu$$

$$l \longmapsto \sum_{h \in H} (l \cdot \Sigma_h) h\mu$$

where $l \cdot \Sigma_h$ is the intersection number with Σ_h. Then since $h\mu$ has intersection number one with Σ_h and $h\mu$ has intersection number zero with any $\Sigma_{h'}$ for $h' \neq h$, we have $\phi \circ \gamma(h\mu) = h\mu$. Thus the exact sequence splits, proving the Proposition. □

Theorem 2. *Let M be a closed 3-manifold with $b_1 M = n$, $H \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ the deck group of its universal free abelian cover and let $\lambda = \Sigma\, c_I t^I \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ be a symmetric Laurent polynomial with trace not equal to 0. Then there exists a closed 3-manifold M' with $b_1 M' = b_1 M$ and having Alexander polynomial*

$$\Delta_{M'} = \Delta_M \cdot \lambda.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda = \Sigma\, c_I t^I$ be a symmetric Laurent polynomial. We start by constructing a simple closed curve $S \subset M$ associated to the polynomial λ with which we will modify M.

Let $B \subset M$ be a 3-ball and choose a simple closed curve $S_0 \subset B$ bounding an embedded disk D in M. We will modify S_0 to obtain a new simple closed curve S that will bound an immersed disk in M. For each term pair $\{t^I, t^{-I}\}$, $I \neq 0$, of the polynomial λ having non-zero coefficient c_I, pick

(1) A point q_I on S_0 such that $q_I \neq q_{I'}$ if $\{t^I, t^{-I}\} \neq \{t^{I'}, t^{-I'}\}$.
(2) One of the two homology classes associated to $\pm I \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cong H_1(M)/\mathrm{Tor}(H_1(M))$, which we denote $\gamma_{\pm I}$.

Then pick an embedded loop u_I in M based at q_I such that

$$[u_I] = \gamma_{\pm I}.$$

We may assume, after an isotopy, that the loops u_I are disjoint. Consider a segment $\tilde{u}_I$ obtained from u_I by cutting off a small piece of one of its ends, then thicken this segment to a band $\tilde{u}_I \times [0,1] \approx [0,1] \times [0,1]$. See Figure 1. We assume that we have done this in such a way that

- $(\tilde{u}_I \times [0,1]) \cap D = (\tilde{u}_I \times [0,1]) \cap S_0 = \{0\} \times [0,1]$ and that the two end segments $\{0,1\} \times [0,1]$ lie in a small neighborhood of q_I.
- Each component $[0,1] \times \{0,1\}$ is a copy of u_I in the sense that the union of it with a small arc in S_0 is isotopic to u_I. We may again assume that all such bands are disjoint.

Finally, modify the end of the band as in Figure 1 so that its boundary links according to the coefficient c_I. We call the new curve S. Note that S bounds an immersed disc in M and is therefore homotopically trivial.



FIGURE 1. Modifying S_0 to obtain S.

Remove a tubular neighborhood N of S and let $X = M - N$. We construct M' by performing an m-surgery on M along S, where $m = \mathrm{tr}(\lambda)$, and with respect to a *preferred framing*

$$f = (\ell, \mu) \subset \partial N.$$

Here, ℓ is the *preferred longitude* – the one characterized by defining a homologically trivial element in X – and μ is a meridian. We will show that $\Delta_{M'} = \Delta_M \cdot \lambda$.

It will be important for us to have an explicit understanding of the preferred longitude ℓ. First, consider a tubular neighborhood of the curve as it appears before the linking step; call that curve S'. See the first image in Figure 1. Choose a preferred longitude for the original curve S_0, cut at the beginning of the band. Continue this longitude along a pair of homotopic segments lying along tubular

neighborhoods of the band boundaries. The result will be a curve ℓ' which is homologically trivial in the complement of a tubular neighborhood of S'.

A tubular neighborhood for S is obtained by cutting the tubular neighborhood of S' just constructed and adding a cylinder that links about S_0. If we were to continue ℓ' without twisting about this cylindrical piece, we obtain a longitude called the ***obvious longitude***, denoted l, which is not homologically trivial. In fact, l bounds an immersed punctured disk, punctured twice for each linking that has been introduced. More specifically, l is homologous in X to

$$(\sum_{I\neq 0} c_I)\mu$$

where μ is a meridian of the tubular neighborhood of S. The preferred longitude of S, denoted ℓ, is therefore obtained from l by introducing a *pair* of twists in l (opposite in orientation to the direction of the linkings) for each of the c_I linkings coming from u_I. That is,

$$\ell = l - (\sum_{I\neq 0} c_I)\mu.$$

See Figure 2.



FIGURE 2. The preferred longitude.

We will now calculate the Alexander module $H_1(\widehat{M'})$ as a Λ-module. Let $\widehat{M}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}^n$ cover of M, p the covering map so that $\widehat{S} = p^{-1}(S)$ and $\widehat{N} = p^{-1}(N)$. Let $\widehat{X} = \widehat{M} - \widehat{N}$. By Proposition 2 we have that

$$H_1(\widehat{X}) \cong H_1(\widehat{M}) \oplus \Lambda$$

as Λ-modules. Then the only new generator in homology which one obtains by removing $\widehat{N}$ corresponds algebraically to the factor Λ. In particular, this factor as a Λ-module is cyclic, generated by an element α.

In order to obtain $\widehat{M'}$ from $\widehat{M}$, we will glue in solid tori to $\widehat{X}$, that is, to perform an m-surgery on each torus component. We will choose a longitude in each torus boundary $\widehat{N}$ of $\widehat{X}$ coming from the lift of the preferred longitude ℓ of N. For a fixed boundary component of $\widehat{N}$, we denote this longitude by $\hat{\ell}$ and denote by μ a meridian. We let $\hat{l}$ be a lift to $\widehat{N}$ of the obvious longitude l to the same boundary component containing $\hat{\ell}$. Observe that the homology class of μ also generates the factor Λ occurring in $H_1(\widehat{X})$, so we may assume that $\mu = \alpha$.

The longitude $\hat{l}$ is not homologically trivial (as l was not) owing to the fact that other lifts of N link with $\widehat{N}$. Instead, it bounds an immersed punctured disk, in which we have a puncture for each such linking. See Figure 3.



FIGURE 3. The immersed punctured disk.

Let $\lambda_0 = \lambda - c_0$ so that $\mathrm{tr}(\lambda_0) = \sum_{I \neq 0} c_I$. Note then that $\hat{l} = \lambda_0 \cdot \mu$ i.e. is the sum of the punctures. Then it follows by our construction of ℓ from l that

$$\hat{\ell} = (\lambda_0 - \mathrm{tr}(\lambda_0))\mu = \hat{l} - \mathrm{tr}(\lambda_0)\mu.$$

For the preferred framing $f = (\ell, \mu) \subset \partial X$ an m-surgery is given by the formula $\ell + m\mu$. Thus, in the manifold M', $\ell + m\mu$ is trivial. This relation produces the relation in $\widehat{M'}$

$$\hat{\ell} + m\mu = (\lambda_0 - \mathrm{tr}(\lambda_0) + m)\mu = 0.$$

Thus since $m = \mathrm{tr}(\lambda)$ we have $m - \mathrm{tr}(\lambda_0) = c_0$ then this relation becomes

$$\lambda\mu = 0.$$

Since this new relation only involves the new generator $\alpha = \mu$, then the presentation of the Alexander module of M' is of the form

$$\langle x_1, \ldots, x_\alpha, \mu | r_1, \ldots, r_b, \lambda\mu \rangle$$

where $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_\alpha | r_1, \ldots, r_b \rangle$ is the presentation for the Alexander module of M. It then follows immediately that the presentation matrix for the Alexander module of M' is of the form

$$P' = \begin{pmatrix} P & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ are zero vectors. It follows that $\Delta_{M'} = \Delta_M \cdot \lambda$.

□

For low Betti numbers $b_1 = 1, 2$ or 3, the following closed orientable manifolds have $\Delta_M = 1$ (see [12]):

- $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, $b_1 = 1$.
- $\mathsf{H}_3(\mathbb{R})/\mathsf{H}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ = Heisenberg manifold, $b_1 = 2$.
- $\mathbb{T}^3$ = the 3-torus, $b_1 = 3$.

Combining these examples with Theorem 2 we have

Corollary 2. *Every symmetric Laurent polynomial in* 1,2 *or* 3 *variables having non-zero trace is the Alexander polynomial of a* 3*-manifold with first Betti number* 1,2 *or* 3.

4. Characterization for $b_1 M = 1$

In this section we prove the

Characterization Theorem. *Let λ be a Laurent polynomial in* 1 *variable. Then $\lambda = \Delta_M$ for some closed* 3*-manifold with $b_1 M = 1 \Leftrightarrow \lambda$ is unit symmetric and has non-zero trace.*

The sufficiency of the condition "λ is unit symmetric and has non-zero trace" follows from Corollary 2. Therefore we will dedicate this section to proving necessity, which will be accomplished as follows:

§4.1 We recall Blanchfield's symmetry result, which says that the Alexander polynomial of any closed 3-manifold is mod unit symmetric.
§4.2 We show the existence of a "Seifert surface" Σ for M and use it to construct the universal infinite cyclic cover $\widehat{M}$.
§4.3 Using Σ we define a "Seifert matrix" for M, and use it to show that Δ_M is unit symmetric.
§4.4 We prove in Lemma 3 that the trace of Δ_M is not 0.

4.1. **Blanchfield's Mod Unit Symmetry Theorem.** Let M be a compact and orientable 3-manifold with or without boundary. In [3], a general symmetry result about Alexander ideals was proved. As before, we denote by $\widehat{M}$ the universal free abelian cover and denote by $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[H]$ the group ring generated by the deck group H of $\widehat{M} \to M$. Recall the automorphism $\iota : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ defined in §2. We will say that an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset \Lambda$ is ***symmetric*** if $\iota(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}$.

The following theorem is a special case of Corollary 5.6 of [3].

Theorem 3. *The principal ideal (Δ_M) is symmetric.*

We have as an immediate corollary:

Corollary 3. *Any Alexander polynomial Δ_M of M is mod unit symmetric.*

Proof. By symmetry $(\iota(\Delta_M)) = (\Delta_M)$ so there exists $u \in \Lambda^\times$ such that $\iota(\Delta) = u\Delta$ i.e. Δ is mod unit symmetric □

4.2. **An Analogue of the Seifert Surface Construction.** Let M be closed and orientable with $b_1 M = 1$. Recall the map $\psi : \pi_1 M \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined as the composition

$$\pi_1 M \xrightarrow{\text{abelianization}} H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{projection}} \text{Free}(H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

where for A an abelian group, $\text{Free}(A) = A/\text{Tor}(A)$. We will usually identify $\text{Free}(A)$ as a subgroup of A by choosing a section of the projection $A \to \text{Free}(A)$. For an embedded closed oriented surface $\Sigma \subset M$ and a simple closed oriented curve $\gamma \subset M$ we denote by $\gamma \cdot \Sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$ the signed intersection number.

Theorem 4. *There exists an oriented, embedded and non separating closed surface $\Sigma \subset M$ such that for all $\gamma \in \pi_1 M$,*

$$\psi(\gamma) = \gamma \cdot \Sigma.$$

Proof. Note that ψ is by definition trivial on $[\pi_1 M, \pi_1 M]$ so that it induces an element of $\text{Hom}(H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$: the projection appearing in (4.2), which is a non trivial homomorphism. The intersection pairing version of Poincaré duality provides in particular a non-degenerate pairing

$$\text{Free}(H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})) \times \text{Free}(H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

given by the signed intersection number.

See [6]. N.B. $H_2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ which is free by the universal coefficient theorem. This means that every homomorphism

$$\text{Free}(H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

is given by the intersection pairing with some element of $H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus we may associate to ψ an element $[\Sigma] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. We note that there exists a representative $\Sigma \in [\Sigma]$ which is a closed connected embedded surface since $[\Sigma]$ is of co-dimension 1. This surface is orientable because it represents a non-trivial element of $H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$; any closed non-orientable surface has trivial H_2 so could not represent a non-trivial element of $H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus we have $\psi(\gamma) = \gamma \cdot \Sigma$ for all $\gamma \in \pi_1 M$. In particular for any curve γ with $\psi(\gamma) = 1$ we have $\gamma \cdot \Sigma = 1$. This, along with the fact that Σ is orientable, implies that Σ is non-separating. For if $M - \Sigma = Y_1 \sqcup Y_2$ is a disjoint union, then since Σ is 2-sided, then after moving γ by an isotopy, $\gamma - \Sigma = \gamma - \{\text{point}\} \approx (0, 1)$ would connect points of Y_1 to points of Y_2, which is impossible.

□

Since Σ is orientable and non-separating, it has a collar which we denote $C(\Sigma)$. Let

$$X = \overline{M - C(\Sigma)} = M - \text{int}(C(\Sigma)).$$

Note that X has two boundary components Σ^- and Σ^+.

Proposition 3. *If Σ is of genus g then*

$$H_1X \cong H_1\Sigma \oplus \text{Tor}(H_1X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \oplus \text{Tor}(H_1X).$$

In other words, $\text{Free}(H_1X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$.

Proof. Observe that the statement of the Proposition is equivalent to showing that

$$\dim H_1(X;\mathbb{Q}) = 2g.$$

For the remainder of the proof, all homology and cohomology will be with $\mathbb{Q}$ coefficients. Next, we have

$$H_1(X) \cong H^2(M, \text{int}(C(\Sigma))) \cong H^2(M,\Sigma)$$

where the first isomorphism is by Lefschetz duality (see [16], page 297) and the second isomorphism follows because Σ is a deformation retract of $\text{int}(C(\Sigma))$. Since we are working with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients, the universal coefficient theorem implies that

$$H^2(M,\Sigma) \cong H_2(M,\Sigma).$$

(Since $H_1M \cong \mathbb{Q}$ is free, $\text{Ext}(H_1M,\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ which implies $H^2(M,\Sigma) \cong \text{Hom}(H_2(M,\Sigma),\mathbb{Q})$; but $H_2(M,\Sigma)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space, so $\text{Hom}(H_2(M,\Sigma),\mathbb{Q}) \cong H_2(M,\Sigma)$.) So it will be enough to show that $H_2(M,\Sigma)$ has dimension $2g$.

Let us consider the long exact sequence in homology of the pair (M,Σ) :

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_2\Sigma \xrightarrow{i_2} H_2M \xrightarrow{j_2} H_2(M,\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_1\Sigma \xrightarrow{i_1} H_1M \xrightarrow{j_1} H_1(M,\Sigma) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Notice that

$$H_2M \cong H^1M \cong H_1M \cong \mathbb{Q},$$

where the first isomorphism is by Poincaré duality, the second by the universal coefficient theorem and the last one because $b_1M = 1$. Note that j_1 is injective, since Σ intersects once a generator of $\text{Free}(H_1(M,\mathbb{Z}))$ and therefore when this generator is mapped to $H_1(M,\Sigma)$, it persists.

Thus $\text{Ker}(j_1) = 0 = \text{Im}(i_1)$ by exactness, so that i_1 is the zero map. Thus $\text{Ker}(i_1) = H_1\Sigma = \text{Im}(\partial)$ again by exactness. Thus ∂ is onto and $H_2(M,\Sigma)$ has dimension $\geq 2g$. On the other hand, $[\Sigma]$ generates an infinite cyclic subgroup of H_2M since it corresponds by duality to ψ which is a free cohomology class. So i_2 is injective and $\mathbb{Q} \cong \text{Im}(i_2) = \text{Ker}(j_2)$. Since $\text{Ker}(j_2) \neq 0$, it follows since $H_2M \cong \mathbb{Q}$ that we must have that $\text{Ker}(j_2) = H_2M$. Hence j_2 is the 0 map, which implies that $\text{Ker}(\partial) = 0$ i.e. ∂ is injective and therefore an isomorphism. □

The argument above can be modified to show that ∂ is an isomorphism modulo torsion in $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients. More precisely,

Lemma 1. *The homomorphism*

$$\partial : H_2(M,\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{Z})$$

satisfies

(1) $\text{Ker}(\partial) \subset \text{Tor}(H_2(M,\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}))$.
(2) $\text{Coker}(\partial)$ *is a finite group.*

Proof. Consider the long exact sequence of the pair appearing in the proof of Proposition 3, but now with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients. The map i_2 is still injective and its image is still free (by how we defined Σ), but here we can no longer assert that i_2 is onto. Nevertheless, this implies that $\mathrm{Ker}(j_2) = \mathrm{Im}(i_2)$ is free. We claim that this implies that $\mathrm{Im}(j_2)$ is a finite group. For $H_2(M;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathrm{Tor}(H_2(M;\mathbb{Z}))$, since we saw in the proof of Proposition 3 that $H_2(M;\mathbb{Q}) \cong H_2(M;\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore $\mathrm{Ker}(j_2) \subset \mathrm{Free}(H_2(M;\mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and j_2 induces a map having domain the finite group $H_2(M;\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{Ker}(j_2)$, so $\mathrm{Im}(j_2)$ is a finite group as claimed. By exactness, $\mathrm{Ker}(\partial)$ is finite, which proves (1). Now since $H_2(M,\Sigma;\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}^{2g}$, $H_2(M,\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \oplus \mathrm{Tor}(H_2(M,\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}))$ which by (1) means that $\mathrm{Ker}(\partial) \subset \mathrm{Tor}(H_2(M,\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}))$. Therefore ∂ restricted to the free part of $H_2(M,\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ maps onto a subgroup of rank $2g$ of $H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$. This implies (2).

□

Example 1. For an example where ∂ is not surjective, consider the case where M is the mapping torus

$$\mathbb{T}_A = \mathbb{T}^2 \times [0,1]/\sim_A, \quad (x,0) \sim_A (Ax,1)$$

associated to the hyperbolic matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since there is no simple closed curve $c \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ such that $A^n(c)$ is isotopic to c for some n, we have $b_1\mathbb{T}_A = 1$. The "Seifert surface" Σ in this case is the image of $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{0\}$ in $\mathbb{T}_A$. On the other hand, we know (see [12]) that

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{T}_A}(t) = \det(A - It) = t^2 - 4t + 1.$$

By Lemma 3 of §4.4 below, the order of $\mathrm{Tor}(H_1\mathbb{T}_A)$ is $|\Delta_{\mathbb{T}_A}(1)| = 2$. The map $i_1 : H_1\mathbb{T}^2 \to H_1\mathbb{T}_A$, which is induced by the identification $\mathbb{T}^2 \approx \Sigma \subset \mathbb{T}_A$ has image $\mathrm{Tor}(H_1\mathbb{T}_A) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$: indeed, due to the defining identifications if we denote by $x = (1,0), y = (0,1)$ the basis of $\mathbb{Z}^2 = H_1\mathbb{T}^2$, then $i_1(x) = x = A(x) = 3x + 2y$ and $i_1(y) = y = A(y) = x + y$ which implies that $2(x+y) = 0$ and $x = 0$ and therefore $2y = 0$. In particular, $\mathrm{Ker}(i_1) = \mathrm{Im}(\partial)$ is a proper subgroup of $H_1\mathbb{T}^2$. Therefore, ∂ is not onto.

We now use the surface Σ to construct the universal infinite cyclic cover of M. Take a countable collection $\{X_i\}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, of copies of X, and glue them such that Σ_{i-1}^+ is identified with Σ_i^- by the "re-gluing" homeomorphisms. Denote the result $\widehat{M}$.

Theorem 5. *$\widehat{M}$ is a universal infinite cyclic cover of M.*

Proof. We show that there exists an infinite cyclic covering $p : \widehat{M} \to M$. Each point in X_i is mapped to its counterpart in $X \subset M$. Now we map X onto $\overline{X} = X/\sim$ where $x \sim y$ if $x, y \in \partial X$ correspond. The gluing used to define $\widehat{M}$ is compatible with the relation $\sim$ so we get a covering map $\widehat{M} \to \overline{X} \approx M$ which is infinite cyclic. □

4.3. **An Analogue of the Seifert Matrix.** A key fact which we will need in order to prove that Δ_M is unit symmetric is the existence of a basis of the homology of X dual to a given basis of the homology of Σ. However, in view of Lemma 1, we will not be able to do this for integral homology, as one does for knot complements [14]. In order to address this complication, we will work instead with homology with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients.

We begin with a notion of linking number valid in M. Consider disjoint oriented simple closed curves $l_1, l_2 \subset M$ whose integral homology classes belong to $\mathrm{Tor}(H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}))$. Then there exists integers n_1, n_2 such that $[n_1 l_1] = 0 = [n_2 l_2]$, and therefore there exist compact and oriented surfaces $S_1, S_2 \subset M$ with $\partial S_1 = n_1 l_1$, $\partial S_2 = n_2 l_2$.

Definition 1. The **linking number** of l_1 with l_2 is

$$\mathrm{lk}(l_1, l_2) = \frac{(n_2 l_2) \cdot S_1}{n_1 n_2} = \frac{l_2 \cdot S_1}{n_1} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Since we have divided by $n_1 n_2$, the linking number does not depend on the n_i chosen so that $[n_i l_i] = 0$.

Note 1. We have $\mathrm{lk}(l_1, l_2) = -\mathrm{lk}(l_2, l_1)$, just as in the case of the classical linking number.

The linking number defined here is for pairs of simple closed curves l_1 and l_2, and only depends on the isotopy type of $l_1 \cup l_2$. We can in fact extend it bi-linearly to rational multiples $q_1 l_1$, $q_2 l_2$ by the formula

$$\mathrm{lk}(q_1 l_1, q_2 l_2) := q_1 q_2 \cdot \mathrm{lk}(l_1, l_2).$$

We now choose special generating sets for the homology of the boundary components of X.

Fix bases $\{a_i\}$, $\{a_i^{\pm}\}$ of $H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{Z})$, $H_1(\Sigma^{\pm};\mathbb{Z})$; where $\{a_i^{\pm}\}$ is a push-off in the $\pm$ normal direction of $\{a_i\}$. When viewed in M, they give elements of $\mathrm{Tor}(H_1 M)$. We can choose $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $\{na_i\}$, $\{na_i^{\pm}\}$ are homologous to 0 in $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$ and not just torsion (for example we could take $n = \prod n_i$).

Now let $\{\bar{a}_i\}$, $\{\bar{a}_i^{\pm}\}$ be $\{na_i\}$, $\{na_i^{\pm}\}$, these elements give bases of $H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{Q})$, $H_1(\Sigma^{\pm};\mathbb{Q})$.

We define a square matrix $V_{\mathbb{Q}} = (\bar{v}_{ij})$ by

$$\bar{v}_{ij} = \mathrm{lk}(\bar{a}_i^{+}, \bar{a}_j).$$

Notice that this is well-defined since these curves are torsion as elements of $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$, and therefore their linking numbers are defined.

Also note that

$$\bar{v}_{ij} = \mathrm{lk}(\bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_j^{-})$$

so that

$$V_{\mathbb{Q}}^T = (\bar{v}_{ij}^T), \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{v}_{ij}^T = \mathrm{lk}(\bar{a}_j, \bar{a}_i^{-}).$$

We now specify a basis of $H_1(X;\mathbb{Q})$ dual to the basis $\{\bar{a}_i\}$ of $H_1(\Sigma;\mathbb{Q})$ with respect to the bilinear pairing $\mathrm{lk}(\cdot,\cdot)$.

Lemma 2. *There exists a basis $\bar{\beta}_1, \ldots, \bar{\beta}_{2g}$ of $H_1(X;\mathbb{Q})$ such that viewed in M*

$$\mathrm{lk}(\bar{a}_i, \bar{\beta}_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

Proof. The map $\partial : H_2(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{Q}) \to H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism. If $\bar{a}_i$ is one of the generators of $H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Q})$ specified above, then $\partial^{-1}(\bar{a}_i)$ is a multiple $n_i S_i$ of a surface S_i. We may assume n_i are integers by choosing n large enough, we write $\bar{S}_i = n_i S_i$. Thus we obtain a generating set $\bar{S}_1, \ldots, \bar{S}_{2g}$ of $H_2(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{Q})$ in which $\bar{S}_i$ is the image in $H_2(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{Q})$ of the class $n_i S_i \in H_2(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$.

But

$$H^2(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_2(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}^{2g}$$

where the first isomorphism is a consequence of the universal coefficient theorem (see Corollary 4, page 244 of [16]) and the second isomorphism comes from composition of isomorphisms

$$H_2(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\partial} H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}^{2g}.$$

Therefore, $H^2(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{Q})$ has a basis dual to $\{\bar{S}_i\}$: given by cohomology classes $f_1, \ldots, f_{2g}$ with $f_i(n_j S_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Here we are identifying cohomology classes with functionals of homology.

By Lefschetz duality we have $H_1(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^2(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{Q})$. The duality isomorphism is given by the intersection pairing. Therefore, if $\bar{\beta} \in H_1(X; \mathbb{Q})$ and $\bar{S} \in H_2(M, \Sigma; \mathbb{Q})$ then the $\mathbb{Q}$-Lefschetz duality isomorphism is induced by

$$\bar{S} \mapsto \bar{\beta} \cdot \bar{S}.$$

In particular, if we let $\bar{\beta}_i$ be such that the above function coincides with f_i, then we have

$$\bar{\beta}_i \cdot \bar{S}_j = f_i(\bar{S}_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

But $\partial(\bar{S}_j) = \bar{a}_j$. This implies that $\mathrm{lk}(\bar{\beta}_i, \bar{a}_j) = \delta_{ij}$. □

Recall the construction of $\widehat{M}$ given at the end of §4.2. The Mayer-Vietoris Theorem applied to $\widehat{M}$ shows us that as a Λ-module

$$H_1(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong (H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \Lambda)/\text{relations}$$

where the relations are given by the gluing identifications

$$a_i^- - t a_i^+ = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, 2g \tag{4}$$

plus the torsion relations (which do not involve t):

$$m_1 \mu_1 = 0, \ldots, m_k \mu_k = 0$$

where the μ_i are generators of the torsion of $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Hence the Alexander matrix has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A(t) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & m_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & m_k \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A(t)$ is a $2g \times 2g$ matrix corresponding to the system (4).

Let $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the group ring $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $H_1(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Q})$ is a $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$-module, and its presentation is given by

$$H_1(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong (H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}})/\text{relations}$$

where the relations are

$$n a_i^- - t n a_i^+, \quad i = 1, \ldots, 2g,$$

or in other words

$$\bar{a}_i^- - t\bar{a}_i^+, \quad i = 1, \ldots, 2g.$$

Thus these are the only relations we have and the $\mathbb{Q}$ Alexander matrix is

$$A_{\mathbb{Q}}(t) = nA(t).$$

Recall that if $f(t) = \sum_{i=m}^{n} b_i t^i$ is a Laurent polynomial, where $b_m, b_n \neq 0$, then the degree is defined $\deg(f) = n - m$. This notion of degree is invariant with respect to multiplication by units. If we let $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$ be the "Alexander polynomial" associated to $A_{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$, then

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(t) := det(A_{\mathbb{Q}}(t)) = \frac{n^{2g}}{m_1 \ldots m_k}\Delta(t).$$

We can see that $\Delta(t)$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$ have the same degree. Our strategy will be to show that $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$ has even degree.

Theorem 6. $V_{\mathbb{Q}} - tV_{\mathbb{Q}}^T = A_{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$.

Proof. $H_1(X; \mathbb{Q})$ has generators the $\bar{\beta}_i$ and we may write therefore

$$\bar{a}_i^{\pm} = \sum c_{ij}^{\pm} \bar{\beta}_j.$$

If we take the linking number both sides of this equation with $\bar{a}_j$, we get by Lemma 2 that

$$c_{ij}^{\pm} = -\mathrm{lk}(\bar{a}_i^{\pm}, \bar{a}_j).$$

It follows that the relations (4) may be re-written

$$\sum \mathrm{lk}(\bar{a}_i^-, \bar{a}_j)\bar{\beta}_j - t\sum \mathrm{lk}(\bar{a}_i^+, \bar{a}_j)\bar{\beta}_j = 0.$$

By our definition of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and our identification of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}^T$ the relations (4) may be re-written

$$\sum (\bar{v}_{ij} - t\bar{v}_{ji})\bar{\beta}_j = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, 2g.$$

So

$$A_{\mathbb{Q}}(t) = V_{\mathbb{Q}} - tV_{\mathbb{Q}}^T,$$

as claimed. □

4.4. **Characterization.** We begin with the following corollary of Theorem 6:

Corollary 4. *$\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$ is unit symmetric and in particular is of even degree.*

Proof. By Theorem 6 we have

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(t) = \det(V_{\mathbb{Q}} - tV_{\mathbb{Q}}^T) = \det(V_{\mathbb{Q}}^T - tV_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

and therefore

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(t^{-1}) = \det(V_{\mathbb{Q}} - t^{-1}V_{\mathbb{Q}}^T) = \det((-t^{-1}) \cdot (V_{\mathbb{Q}}^T - tV_{\mathbb{Q}})) = t^{-2g}\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(t).$$

Then $f(t) = t^{-g}\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$ is symmetric so $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}}(t)$ is unit symmetric. The last statement follows since odd degree polynomials cannot be unit symmetric (they can be at most mod unit symmetric). □

Theorem 7. *The Alexander polynomial $\Delta(t)$ of M is unit symmetric.*

Proof. By Corollary 4 $\Delta(t)$ is of even degree. By Blanchfield we know that any $\Delta_M(t)$ is mod unit symmetric. Since the degree is even, this implies that it is unit symmetric. □

Lemma 3. *For $b_1M = 1$ the trace of the Alexander polynomial Δ_M is non-zero and its absolute value is equal to the order of* $\mathrm{Tor}H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{P}(t)$ be a presentation matrix for the Λ-module $H_1(\widehat{M})$, then $P := \widehat{P}(1)$ is a presentation matrix for $G = p_*(H_1\widehat{M})$ = the image of $H_1\widehat{M}$ in H_1M by the covering map $p : \widehat{M} \to M$. Since $\widehat{M}$ is an infinite cyclic covering of M, we have the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow p_*(\pi_1(\widehat{M})) \subset \pi_1(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By abelianizing π_1M, we obtain the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G \subset H_1(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

which is exact: here we are using the fact that

(1) The image of the subgroup $p_*(\pi_1\widehat{M})) < \pi_1(M)$ by the abelianization map $\pi_1(M) \to H_1(M)$ is G.
(2) The epimorphism $\psi : \pi_1(M) \to \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi) \supset [\pi_1(X), \pi_1(X)]$.

Thus $H_1(M) \cong G \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ as abelian groups so that $\mathrm{Tor}(G) = \mathrm{Tor}(H_1(M))$. But G is a finitely generated abelian group and so is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^r \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n_k\mathbb{Z}$ for integers $r, n_1, \ldots n_k$, and P must be equivalent to the diagonal presentation matrix $\mathrm{diag}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$. Then

$$\Delta_M(1) = \det(P) = n_1 \cdots n_k = |\mathrm{Tor}(H_1(M))|.$$

□

We can now conclude with the

Proof (Characterization Theorem). Immediate from Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 3. □

5. Manifolds with $b_1 > 1$

In this chapter we consider Alexander polynomials of closed 3-manifolds with $b_1 > 1$.

5.1. **Manifolds with $b_1 = 2, 3$.** As mentioned in the Introduction, the following closed 3-manifolds M have $\Delta_M = 1$:

- $\mathsf{H}_3(\mathbb{R})/\mathsf{H}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ = Heisenberg manifold [12], $b_1 = 2$.
- $\mathbb{T}^3$ = the 3-torus, $b_1 = 3$.

Applying the generalized Levine's theorem to the above examples, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 5. *Let M be a closed 3-manifold with first Betti number 2 or 3. Then the set of symmetric Laurent polynomials in 2 or 3 variables with $\mathrm{tr}(\lambda) \neq 0$, is contained in the set of Alexander polynomials Δ_M with first Betti number equal to 2 or 3.*

5.2. **Manifolds with $b_1 \geq 4$.** In this section we will prove

Theorem 8. $\Delta_M \neq 1$ *for any closed* 3*-manifold with* $b_1 M \geq 4$.

The proof of this theorem requires several facts which we summarize now. Let p be a prime and $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Recall that for a manifold N, the mod p Betti numbers are defined

$$b_k(N; \mathbb{F}_p) := \text{rank}(H_k(N; \mathbb{F}_p)).$$

We will use the abbreviated notation

$$d_p(N) := b_1(N; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

for the first mod p Betti number.

Fact 1. Let $\tilde{M}_p$ be the finite abelian cover of M associated to the epimorphism

$$\psi_p : \pi_1 M \longrightarrow H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(M; \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Let $r = d_p(M)$. Using an inequality of Shalen and Wagreich [15], we will deduce in §5.3 that

$$d_p(\tilde{M}_p) \geq \binom{r}{2}.$$

Fact 2. Suppose that $\Delta_M = 1$ and let $M' \to M$ be a finite abelian cover with deck group $\mathbb{F}_{p_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F}_{p_k}$, $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ primes. Then

a. The torsion subgroup of $H_1(M'; \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial.

b. $b_1 M' = b_1 M$. This is a consequence of an equality of E. Hironaka [8].

These statements will be proved in §5.4.

Assuming for the moment the above facts, we can now give the

Proof of Theorem 8. We consider a cover $\tilde{M}_p$ as in Fact 1 with p prime to the order of the torsion subgroup of $H_1(M)$, so that $d_p(M) = b_1 M$ and the deck group of ψ_p is $\mathbb{F}_p^{b_1 M}$. Taking $M' = \tilde{M}_p$, it follows from Fact 2. (parts a. and b.) that

$$d_p(\tilde{M}_p) = d_p(M) = r.$$

But the inequality in Fact 1. is satisfied only for $r \leq 3$, since $r < \binom{r}{2}$ for all $r \geq 4$. □

5.3. $\mathbb{F}_p$**-Homology and Finite Cyclic Covers.** In this section we will derive the inequality of Fact 1. above.

Fix a prime p and let G be a group. If $A < G$ is a subgroup then we define

$$G \# A = [G, A]A^p := \langle [g, a] b^p \mid g \in G, a, b \in A \rangle,$$

where $[g, a] = gag^{-1}a^{-1}$ and $\langle X \rangle$ means the group generated by X.

We note that if $A \lhd G$ then $G \# A \lhd A$ and $A/(G \# A)$ is an elementary abelian p-group i.e a direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{F}_p$. The **mod** p **lower central series** $\{G_i\}$ of G is defined by

$$G_{i+1} = G \# G_i$$

where $G_0 = G$. By the above comments we have $G_{i+1} \lhd G_i$ and G_i/G_{i+1} is an elementary abelian p-group for all $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. See [17].

Let $\Gamma = \pi_1 M$ where M is an orientable closed 3-manifold, and let $\{\Gamma_i\}$ be its mod p lower central series. Let $r = \text{rank}(\Gamma/\Gamma_1)$. The following result appears as Lemma 1.3 in [15]:

Theorem 9 (Shalen and Wagreich)**.** $\text{rank}(\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2) \geq \binom{r}{2}$.

Proof. See [15] or [9]. □

We now give a geometric interpretation of this theorem in terms of first Betti numbers. We start by noting that

Proposition 4. $\Gamma/\Gamma_1 \cong H_1(M; \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Proof. This will follow from showing that Γ_1 is the kernel of the projection $\psi_p : \Gamma \to H_1(M; \mathbb{F}_p)$. First, note that ψ_p is the composition

$$\Gamma \longrightarrow H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(M; \mathbb{F}_p),$$

and the image of $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma \# \Gamma$ by the first map in the composition is $pH_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ which is the kernel of the second map. Thus $\Gamma_1 \subset \text{Ker}(\psi_p)$. On the other hand, any element $\gamma \in \text{Ker}(\psi_p)$ must belong to a coset of the form $b^p[\Gamma, \Gamma]$, and thus $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$. □

Let $\tilde{M}_p \to M$ be the finite abelian cover associated to the projection $\psi_p : \Gamma \to H_1(M; \mathbb{F}_p)$. We have $\pi_1 \tilde{M}_p \cong \Gamma_1$ and

$$\text{Deck}(\tilde{M}_p/M) \cong \Gamma/\Gamma_1 \cong H_1(M; \mathbb{F}_p) \cong (\mathbb{F}_p)^r.$$

Recall the following notation that was used in the introduction to this chapter

$$d_p(N) := \text{rank } (H_1(N; \mathbb{F}_p)).$$

When $N = M$ we have $d_p(M) = r$. We have the following Corollary to the Theorem of Shalen and Wagreich:

Corollary 6. $d_p(\tilde{M}_p) \geq \binom{r}{2}$.

Proof. We will show that $d_p(\tilde{M}_p) \geq \text{rank}(\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2)$. Applying the analysis of the previous paragraphs to $\tilde{M}_p$ in place of M, we know that

$$d_p(\tilde{M}_p) = \text{rank}(H_1(\tilde{M}_p; \mathbb{F}_p)) = \text{rank}(\Gamma_1/(\Gamma_1 \# \Gamma_1)).$$

Now

$$\Gamma_1/(\Gamma_1 \# \Gamma_1) = \Gamma_1/[\Gamma_1, \Gamma_1](\Gamma_1)^p.$$

But $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma \# \Gamma_1 = [\Gamma_1, \Gamma](\Gamma_1)^p$ so that

$$\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_1/[\Gamma, \Gamma_1](\Gamma_1)^p.$$

Thus Γ_1/Γ_2 is a quotient of $\Gamma_1/(\Gamma_1 \# \Gamma_1)$ and the Corollary follows. □

5.4. **Closed 3-manifolds with $\Delta = 1$.** We first recall the following result which relates the order of torsion in the homology of finite abelian covers to values of the Alexander polynomial:

Theorem 10. *Let $M' \to M$ be a finite abelian cover lying below the universal free abelian cover $\widehat{M} \to M$, with deck group $\mathbb{F}_{p_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F}_{p_n}$, where the p_i are primes. Assume that $\Delta_M(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ has no zero of the form $(\rho_1^{e_1}, \ldots, \rho_n^{e_n})$ where ρ_i is a p_ith root of unity. Then*

$$|\mathrm{Tor}(H_1 M')| = \left| \prod \Delta_M(\rho_1^{e_1}, \ldots, \rho_n^{e_n}) \right|$$

where the product is over all $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ with $0 \leq e_i < p_i$.

Theorem 10 was first proved in the case of knot complements by Fox (see [5]) and stated in the above generality by Turaev ([20], page 136) but he only provides a proof for cyclic covers. A complete proof can be found in [1]. We have immediately part a. of Fact 2:

Corollary 7. *Let M be a closed 3-manifold with $\Delta_M = 1$ and let $M' \longrightarrow M$ be a finite abelian cover. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tor}(H_1 M') = 1.$$

In particular, taking $M = M'$, $\mathrm{Tor}(H_1 M) = 1$.

To prove part b. of Fact 2, we will need a formula of E. Hironaka [8], which we describe in our setting. Let $M' \to M$ be a finite cover and assume that $\Delta_M = 1$. Let us denote

- $D =$ the deck group of $M' \to M$.
- $\Gamma = \pi_1 M$.
- $\alpha : \Gamma \to D$ the projection.

For any group G, the character group is denoted

$$\hat{G} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cont}}(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cont}}$ means the group of continuous homomorphisms. Write $\hat{1}$ for the trivial character. We recall that $\hat{G}$ is a topological group. In our case, $G = \Gamma$ or D, which are discrete groups, so continuous homomorphisms are just homomorphisms. Since $\alpha : \Gamma \to D$ is an epimorphism, there is an induced inclusion

$$\hat{\alpha} : \hat{D} \hookrightarrow \hat{\Gamma}.$$

Let $\chi \in \hat{\Gamma}$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_r^{\pm 1}]$. Note that χ induces a homomorphism of $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}} \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ (the last isomorphism is by Corollary 7). We may then extend χ linearly to a ring homomorphism $\chi : \Lambda \to \mathbb{C}$.

We now describe the formula of E. Hironaka, following [8]. Before doing so, we remark that the definition of the Alexander polynomial used in [8] is the one formulated using the *relative Alexander module*

$$A_M^{\mathrm{rel}} = H_1(\widehat{M}, \hat{x}), \quad \hat{x} = p^{-1}(x), x \in M$$

(see [12]). If $P(t_1, \ldots, t_r)$ is a presentation matrix of A_M^{rel}, the Alexander polynomial is defined in this setting to be a generator of the smallest principal ideal containing the ideal generated by the $(r-1)$ minors of $P(t_1, \ldots, t_r)$. A proof of the equivalence of the relative homology definition with the absolute homology definition can be found in [1] (the equivalence is in fact implicit in Theorem 2.7 of [11] as well as Theorem 16.5 of [21]).

Now given $\chi \in \hat{\Gamma}$ let $P(\chi)$ denote the matrix with complex entries obtained by evaluating each entry of $P(t_1, \ldots, t_r)$ at χ. For each i, define

$$V_i = \left\{ \chi \in \hat{\Gamma} |\ \text{rank}(P(\chi)) < r - i \right\}.$$

Then Hironaka's formula (see [8], page 16, Proposition 2.5.6.) says that

$$b_1 M' = b_1 M + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} |\hat{\alpha}(\hat{D} \setminus \hat{1}) \cap V_i|.$$

Theorem 11. *Suppose that $\Delta_M = 1$ and let $M' \to M$ be any finite abelian cover of M with deck group $D = \mathbb{F}_{p_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F}_{p_k}$, $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ primes. Then $b_1 M' = b_1 M$.*

Proof. We claim that $|\hat{\alpha}(\hat{D} \setminus \hat{1}) \cap V_i| = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$. To do this, it is enough to show that

$$|\hat{\alpha}(\hat{D} \setminus \hat{1}) \cap V_1| = 0,$$

since $V_1 \supset \cdots \supset V_{r-1}$. So we must show that for every character $\chi \in \hat{\alpha}(\hat{D} \setminus \hat{1})$,

$$\text{rank } P(\chi) \geq r - 1.$$

Let us suppose not, that there exists a χ with rank $P(\chi) < r - 1$. Then every $r - 1$ minor of $P(\chi)$ is 0. But $P(\chi)$ is obtained by evaluating each polynomial appearing in P at

$$t_1 = \rho_1^{e_1}, \ldots, t_k = \rho_k^{e_k},$$

where $\rho_j = \exp(2\pi i / p_j)$ and the exponents $e_1, ..., e_k$ depend on χ. This implies that

$$\Delta(\rho_1^{e_1}, \ldots, \rho_k^{e_k}) = 0,$$

which contradicts the fact that $\Delta = 1$. Indeed, the greatest common factor of the $(r-1) \times (r-1)$ minors of $P(\chi)$ is $1 = \Delta(\rho_1^{e_1}, \ldots, \rho_k^{e_k})$, so the minors cannot all be 0. This contradicts our hypothesis, and therefore rank $P(\chi) \geq r - 1$. □

References

[1] K. Alcaraz, The Alexander Polynomial of Closed 3-manifolds. PhD thesis, University of Oxford (2012).

[2] J.W. Alexander, Topological invariants of knots and links. *Trans. AMS* **30** (1928), 275–306.

[3] R. C. Blanchfield, Intersection theory of manifolds with operators with applications to knot theory. *Ann. of Math.*, **65** (1957), 340–356.

[4] R. H. Fox, Free differential calculus I. *Annals of Math.*, **57** (1953), 547–560.

[5] C. McA. Gordon, Some aspects of classical knot theory. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **685** (1978), 1–60.

[6] P. Griffiths & J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry.* Wiley Classics Library, Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, New Jersey, 1994.

[7] J. Hillman, The Torres conditions are insufficient. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **89** (1981), 19-22.

[8] E. Hironaka, Alexander stratifications of character varieties. *Ann. de l'Inst. Four.*, **47 (2)** (1997), 555–583.

[9] M. Lackenby, New lower bounds on subgroups growth and homology growth. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **98 (2)** (2009), 271–297.

[10] J. Levine, A method for generating link polynomials. *American Journal of Mathematics*, **89** (1967), 69–84.

[11] G. Massuyeau, A short introduction to the Alexander polynomial. www-irma.u-strasbg.fr/~massuyea/talks/Alex.pdf, 2008.

[12] C. McMullen, The Alexander polynomial of a 3-manifold and the Thurston norm on cohomology. *Ann. scient. de Éc. Norm. Sup.* **35** (2002), 153–172.

[13] Platt, M.L., Insufficiency of Torres' conditions for two-component classical links. *Trans. AMS*, **296** (1986), 125–136.
[14] D. Rolfsen, *Knots and Links*. AMS Chelsea, Providence, RI, 2003.
[15] P. B. Shalen, P. Wagreich, Growth rates, Z_p-homology, and volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **331** (1992) 895–917.
[16] E. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
[17] J. Stallings, Homology and central series of groups *J. of Algebra* **2** (1965) 170–181. , 2:170?181, 1965.
[18] G. Torres, On the Alexander polynomial. *Ann. of Math.*, **57** (1953), 57–89.
[19] V. Turaev, The Alexander polynomial of a three dimensional manifold. *Math. USSR Sb.*, **26** (1975), 313–329.
[20] V. Turaev, Reidemeister torsion in knot theory. *Russian Math. Surveys*, **41:1** (1986), 119–182.
[21] V. Turaev, *Torsions of 3-dimensionals manifolds*, volume 208 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2002.

The University of Texas at Austin, Department of Mathematics, 1 University Station C1200 Austin, TX 78712-0257
E-mail address: karin@math.utexas.edu